UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,982	05/19/2006	Michael Larsson	07-2123	3593
	7590 12/15/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			TREYGER, ILYA Y	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3761		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/579,982	LARSSON, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		

The MAILING DATE of this communication annu			
The MAILING DATE of this confinding alon appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original.	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate inally set in the final Office	e extension fee extension fee eaction; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)).		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compailing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was MMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow);	,.	ne issues for
appeal; and/or	,, ,		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	κplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consid because: See Continuation Sheet.		•	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Michele Kidwell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761	/Ilya Y Treyger/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761		

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to claims 1, 11 and 16, Applicant argues that the combination of Johnson and Williams is improper because Williams discloses the device drawn to a very different purpose in a very different environment than that recited in Applicant's claims for removing bodily fluids from a body cavity by suction. However, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See In re Oetiker, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both Johnson and Williams belong to the same problem solving area, i.e. maintaining pressure in the catheter devices, and in addition, Williams solves the same problem as claimed by the Applicant, i.e. maintaining pressure in the lumen. As such the combination is proper.